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Restoring and Extending Protections in the United States for Displaced Persons Impacted by Climate Change

This document has been produced on the basis of Human Rights First’s experience representing, researching, and advocating for refugees and victims of human rights abuses seeking humanitarian protections in the United States. Human Rights First acknowledges the importance of providing support that prioritizes the agency of climate-impacted people, ensures their dignity, and provides redress for loss and damage—including many who will not migrate across borders. While the United States and other countries should take additional actions to protect the rights of people who suffer loss and damage due to climate change, this submission focuses on the need for legal migration processes for people affected by climate change who are forced to migrate.

Providing legal solutions for persons displaced by climate-related disasters is crucial to ensuring their safety and dignity, meeting international obligations not to return individuals to serious harm, and enabling people who are forced to cross borders to find refuge and respect for their fundamental rights. Human Rights First recommends that, in order to uphold their refugee and human rights commitments under international law and develop collective solutions to climate displacement, governments, including the United States, eliminate illegal asylum pushback policies and ensure access to asylum at borders, and implement complementary protection processes in order to provide rights-respecting, durable solutions for climate-displaced people.

CLIMATE-DISPLACED PEOPLE SEEKING REFUGE IN THE UNITED STATES

The U.N. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC)'s 2022 report confirms—with “high confidence”—that “[c]limate change is contributing to humanitarian crises where climate hazards interact with high vulnerability” and that “[c]limate and weather extremes are increasingly driving displacement in all regions.” The IPCC predicts—with “high confidence”—that “displacement will increase with intensification of heavy precipitation and associated flooding, tropical cyclones, drought and, increasingly, sea level rise.”

Forced displacement in the context of climate change and environmental degradation is already a factor driving some individuals to seek refuge in the United States. Human Rights First and other organizations have found that some people seeking safety at the U.S. border cite the destruction of and displacement from their homes, agricultural lands, and other businesses due to hurricanes, droughts, floods, fires, and other climate-related causes as contributing to their flight from their home countries. Since 2020, for example, a series of punishing hurricanes and tropical storms have battered El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras, Nicaragua, and Mexico, destroying homes and infrastructure, impacting the livelihoods of millions of people, and forcing some to seek safety in the United States.

Some people impacted by climate disasters flee multiple intersecting threats, including persecution or torture, that would qualify them for existing refugee or other protections against refoulement. The Global Compact on Migration, adopted by the UN General Assembly in 2018, recognizes that “climate, environmental degradation and natural disasters increasingly interact with the drivers of refugee movements.” For example, a Honduran family stranded in Tijuana (due to U.S. policies blocking requests for asylum discussed below), told Human Rights First that they fled Honduras because a gang that exercises significant control throughout the country had extorted and threatened them and, in the wake of Hurricane Eta’s destruction of the family’s few remaining possessions, stole what little they earned from their business. Similarly, a Honduran asylum seeker forced to flee to the U.S.-Mexico
border said that he had been unable to pay the extortion payments a violent gang had demanded of him after a hurricane destroyed his family-run grocery store. A schoolteacher and human rights defender from the Indigenous Pech people of Honduras fled death threats for his efforts to protect his community’s traditional lands and the murder of his brother who was also a community land defender, as climate change has increased pressures on and displacement of Indigenous and Afro-descendent communities in Honduras. Indeed, “[m]any of those who are forced to flee countries experiencing climate-affected stresses may be particularly vulnerable to persecution as traditionally defined.”

As climate-related natural disasters impacting the region and other parts of the world grow in frequency and severity, coupled with often interrelated forms of repression and persecution, people forced from their homes will continue to seek safety in other countries, including the United States.

Illegal U.S. border pushback policies of asylum seekers exacerbate harms due to climate-change-related displacement

Recently implemented U.S. policies that block and push back asylum seekers at the U.S.-Mexico border have—in violation of U.S. law and treaty obligations—severely eroded access to U.S. asylum and other humanitarian protections, including for asylum seekers displaced, in part, due to climate change.

These illegal policies include:

- “metering”—the U.S. government’s policy of intentionally reducing the number of asylum seekers processed at ports of entry, which leaves asylum seekers stranded in Mexico and often forcing them to wait for months in danger to request asylum;
- the Migrant Protection Protocols, commonly known as Remain in Mexico (RMX), which forces asylum seekers and migrants to await U.S. immigration court proceedings in dangerous border regions of Mexico, endangering their lives and creating nearly insurmountable obstacles to the fair adjudication of their cases; and
- the Title 42 policy, which has been used to block asylum at U.S. ports of entry and to expel migrants and asylum seekers who cross the border to Mexico and to their countries of persecution without access to the U.S. asylum system or refugee protection screenings.

These policies exacerbate harms for individuals stranded in danger in Mexico. Asylum seekers and other migrants blocked in or expelled to Mexico under these policies have been murdered, raped, assaulted, and kidnapped. Many are stranded in brutal conditions without safe and secure housing, adequate medical care, or sufficient food. These grave dangers and the inability to request asylum at ports of entry along the border push asylum seekers toward informal crossings away from official border posts.

As climate change exacerbates the danger of informal crossings at the U.S-Mexico border, attempts to seek protection in the United States have become increasingly deadly, as asylum seekers and other migrants trek through harsh desert terrain in sweltering heat or ford flooded rivers. At least 650 migrants and asylum seekers died crossing the U.S.-Mexico border in 2021, the highest death toll since the International Organization for Migration began keeping records in 2014. Recent deaths of people attempting to cross the border to seek safety in the United States include an Ecuadoran woman fleeing gender-based violence who died crossing the desert with her three-year-old daughter, and an asylum-seeking Brazilian doctor found dead in an extraordinarily hot New Mexico desert, who begged in her final messages to family, “ask them to bring me some water. I’m dying of thirst.”
The Special Rapporteur should urge States, including the United States, to comply with their existing refugee and human rights obligations and climate-related commitments by eliminating barriers to asylum at borders and making legal protection available to climate-displaced people.

Eliminate illegal pushback policies and restore access to asylum at the border, including at ports of entry

To avoid exacerbating the vulnerabilities of individuals and communities displaced due to the adverse impacts of climate change, the United States must end illegal push back policies, including metering, RMX, and Title 42, and fully restart asylum at the border. The U.N. High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR) has repeatedly urged the United States to “swiftly lift the Title 42 public health-related asylum restrictions that remain in effect and to restore access to asylum for people whose lives depend on it” and has warned that “[t]he summary, mass expulsions of individuals currently under way under the Title 42 authority, without screening for protection needs, is inconsistent with international norms and may constitute refoulement.” Other U.N. agencies and the Inter-American Commission for Human Rights have also condemned Title 42 border restrictions. UNHCR also expressed concern over the United States' reimplementation of RMX, stating that “the announced adjustments to the policy are not sufficient to address [UNHCR's] fundamental concerns” about the safety and due process rights of asylum seekers subjected to the policy.

As discussed above, some people seeking refuge at the U.S. border who have experienced loss and change due to climate change are also seeking and may qualify for refugee protection under U.S. and international law. Indeed, UNHCR estimates that 90 percent of the world’s refugees under its mandate come from countries “that are the most vulnerable and least ready to adapt to the impacts of climate change.” In addition, access to protection at ports of entry would help to reduce informal crossings away from official border crossing points that expose people seeking refuge in the United States to already dangerous conditions that are being exacerbated by climate change.

Incorporate UNHCR guidance regarding “claims for international protection made in the context of the adverse effects of climate change and disasters” into determinations of eligibility for asylum and refugee status

The Special Rapporteur should urge the United States and other countries to incorporate UNHCR expert guidance on assessing claims in the context of climate change into determinations of eligibility for asylum and refugee status. This includes providing guidance and training to adjudicators on how the impacts of climate change produce and exacerbate risks of persecution on the basis of race, religion, nationality, membership of a particular social group, or political opinion.

Establish a complementary protection regime for people impacted by climate change who do not otherwise qualify for refugee protection

Under international law, states are required to provide protection for people displaced as a result of climate disasters in certain circumstances. The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR), which the United States has ratified, guarantees the right to life under Article 6 and the right to security of person under Article 9. The UN Human Rights Committee’s General Comment 35 on ICCPR Article 9 clarifies that security of person “concerns freedom from injury to the body and the mind, or bodily and mental integrity,” which could include harms caused by climate-related disasters. In considering the case of a family from an island in the Republic of Kiribati who sought asylum in New Zealand citing the threat to their lives from rising sea levels, the UN Human Rights Committee found that “the obligation not to extradite, deport or otherwise transfer pursuant to article 6 of the [ICCPR] may be broader than the scope of non-refoulement under international refugee law, since it may also require the protection of aliens not entitled to refugee status.” U.N. High Commissioner for Refugees
Filippo Grandi clarified: “the ruling says if you have an immediate threat to your life due to climate change, due to the climate emergency, and if you cross the border and go to another country, you should not be sent back because you would be at risk of your life, just like in a war or in a situation of persecution.” UNHCR has also stated that people “displaced by the adverse effects of climate change or disasters” “may be protected from return in accordance with prohibitions on refoulement” under Articles 6 and 7 (prohibition of torture and cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment) of the ICCPR where “there is a real risk of being subjected to serious harm.” However, United States laws do not currently provide a pathway to permanent legal status on the basis of the prohibition on refoulement contained in the ICCPR generally or forced displacement due to climate disasters specifically.

Human Rights First and other U.S. organizations have recommended that the United States adopt a complementary protection standard, in line with U.S. human rights obligations under the ICCPR and in parallel with existing refugee and asylum protections. Such an approach would provide a path to durable legal status for people seeking protection in the United States who have been forced to flee exceptional situations, such as environmental or other crises or disasters, including from the effects of climate change, for which there is no adequate remedy in their country of origin. A complementary protection standard should primarily consider whether return to the individual’s country of origin would create a reasonable possibility that the individual’s rights to life or physical or mental integrity would be at serious risk, and whether the home country’s government is able and willing to protect them. This approach is similar to the standard adopted in regional protection agreements, including the Organization of African Unity Convention and the Cartagena Declaration on Refugees. They include refugee protections for people who flee events or circumstances that are “seriously disturbing” or have “seriously disturbed public order.” A person granted complementary protection should receive the same legal status and treatment as an individual meeting the refugee definition under U.S. law to provide lasting protection and an opportunity for integration.
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For example, at least 20 Nicaraguan migrants and asylum seekers have drowned attempting to cross the Rio Grande at the U.S. border in 2022, including Calixto Nelson Rojas Bordas, a 53-year-old radio host fleeing persecution by Nicaraguan government sympathizers following his participation in anti-regime protests, who drowned in strong river currents while attempting to seek U.S. asylum in May 2022.
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